Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard



Candidate Name: Role Interviewed: Interviewer: Date:	
Dimensions	
1-2: Writes brittle o automated tests us framework compon	Frameworks — Score (1–5): ne-off scripts that break with minor changes and lack reuse. 3: Implements stable ing existing frameworks and adds reusable helpers. 4: Designs scalable ents and improves CI integration and test reliability. 5: Leads framework cross-team automation standards, and mentors others.
1-2: No clear test p test plans that cove	anning — Score (1–5): ans and reacts to defects instead of preventing them. 3: Creates comprehensive r major features and identified risks. 4: Prioritizes testing based on risk and d adjusts scope proactively. 5: Defines multi-release testing strategy and aligns lity goals.
1-2: Creates shallo including edge and reduces redundance	cution — Score (1–5): w tests that miss edge cases and negative flows. 3: Designs thorough test cases negative scenarios and executes reliably. 4: Builds efficient test matrices, y, and raises coverage in key areas. 5: Anticipates complex failure modes and revent regressions across releases.
1-2: Cannot reprod identifies root caus	Cause Analysis — Score (1–5): uce defects reliably and depends on others to triage. 3: Reproduces issues and es in code or environment. 4: Produces clear, concise bug reports with posed mitigations. 5: Diagnoses systemic causes, recommends durable fixes, ence.
1-2: Limited unders tests into CI and m reliability and uses	agineering Tooling — Score (1–5): tanding of pipelines; tests only run locally and not in CI. 3: Integrates automated onitors pipeline health and flakiness. 4: Optimizes pipelines for speed and parallelization and mocks effectively. 5: Designs quality gates, automates improves deployment safety and observability.

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Poor or unclear communication that delays triage and blocks the team. 3: Communicates clearly with engineers and product and participates in planning. 4: Facilitates cross-team coordination and influences priorities using data. 5: Leads quality discussions, aligns stakeholders, and drives decisions across teams.

Metrics, Risk Assessment & Reporting — Score (1–5):

1-2: Tracks only basic defect counts without context or trend analysis. 3: Monitors defect trends, test coverage, and provides release risk assessments. 4: Uses metrics to prioritize testing and reduce key risks and communicates impact. 5: Defines KPIs tied to business outcomes and uses data to influence leadership decisions.

Overall Evaluation

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2