Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard



Candidate Name: Role Interviewed: Interviewer: Date:	
Dimensions	
	p — Score (1–5): s technical decisions and cannot justify design choices. 3: Makes reasonable
design decisions an mentors engineers	d explains trade-offs for team-level systems. 4: Drives scalable architectures, on design, and enforces sound technical practices. 5: Defines technical strategy standards, and leads complex cross-team technical initiatives.
1-2: Provides little for reports.3: Conducts4: Effectively coach	eedback, avoids difficult conversations, and has no development plans for regular one-on-ones, gives constructive feedback, and supports career growth. es, resolves performance issues, and develops high-potential engineers. 5: gns reproducible growth programs, and elevates team performance measurably.
1-2: Misses deadling Delivers projects on commitments, optim	n — Score (1–5): es frequently, fails to manage scope or dependencies, and lacks tracking. 3: time with clear plans and mitigates common risks. 4: Consistently meets nizes team flow, and removes blockers proactively. 5: Drives predictable, outcomes and improves organizational delivery processes.
1-2: Communicates Keeps stakeholders partnerships, resolv	llaboration & communication — Score (1–5): unclearly to stakeholders and struggles to coordinate with product or design. 3: informed, negotiates trade-offs, and aligns on scope. 4: Builds strong es cross-team conflicts, and influences product decisions. 5: Connects strategy s stakeholder alignment, and represents engineering at leadership level.
1-2: Does not partic hiring, conducts effe close strong candida	ing — Score (1–5): ipate in hiring or delivers poor interview/hiring experience. 3: Contributes to ective interviews, and closes candidates occasionally. 4: Owns hiring plans, helps ates, and improves interview process quality. 5: Builds high-performing teams rategies and consistently hires top talent.

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Focuses only on engineering tasks without understanding product impact or prioritization. 3: Balances technical effort with product priorities and ships customer-facing value. 4: Uses metrics and customer context to prioritize work and influence product roadmap. 5: Shapes product strategy with engineering trade-offs and drives measurable business outcomes.

Operational excellence & reliability — Score (1–5):

1-2: Ignores on-call responsibilities and lacks incident handling practices. 3: Ensures basic monitoring, participates in postmortems, and reduces repeat incidents. 4: Implements robust SLOs/SLIs, improves runbook coverage, and reduces operational toil. 5: Drives organizational reliability improvements and leads large-scale incident prevention efforts.

Overall Evaluation

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2