Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard



| Candidate Name: Role Interviewed: Interviewer: Date: | |
|--|--|
| Dimensions | |
| Technical strategy - | - Score (1-5): |
| 1-2: Avoids system- | level decisions; no coherent architecture vision or reliance on others for design. |
| a multi-quarter techr | natic architecture choices and explains trade-offs for current systems. 4: Defines nical roadmap balancing scalability, cost, and delivery. 5: Sets long-term platform or architecture shifts and cross-team standards. |
| • Team leadership — | Score (1–5): |
| Provides regular fee bench, mentors mar | management tasks; team shows high turnover or no development plans. 3: dback, resolves conflicts, and supports career growth. 4: Builds leadership nagers, and measurably reduces turnover. 5: Develops leaders across the orger management and succession practices. |
| • Delivery execution - | — Score (1–5): |
| on schedule with cle | es, is reactive with firefighting and lacks program structure. 3: Delivers projects ear plans and risk mitigation. 4: Delivers cross-team programs predictably and cies proactively. 5: Drives large, complex initiatives end-to-end and improves e org. |
| Stakeholder manag | ement — Score (1-5): |
| Communicates statu Influences product s to executives and ne | poorly with execs and PMs and regularly surprises stakeholders. 3: us clearly and aligns on priorities with product and business partners. 4: trategy and secures stakeholder buy-in proactively. 5: Acts as a trusted advisor egotiates trade-offs that advance company goals. org design — Score (1–5): |
| 1-2: No hiring strate and improves recrui | gy, unclear role definitions, and slow interview processes. 3: Hires required roles ting funnel and interview consistency. 4: Optimizes org structure, reduces racts senior talent. 5: Scales hiring predictably and builds high-performing org |

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Systems frequently fail with no incident process or root-cause follow-up. 3: Maintains SLAs, runs postmortems, and addresses root causes. 4: Improves reliability metrics, automates runbooks, and strengthens incident response. 5: Creates an org-level reliability culture and delivers measurable uptime improvements.

• Metrics & continuous improvement — Score (1–5): _____

1-2: Lacks meaningful metrics; decisions are opinion-based without measurable goals. 3: Uses KPIs to measure team performance and delivery outcomes. 4: Establishes org-wide metrics and links engineering work to business results. 5: Creates continuous improvement loops that materially improve velocity and quality.

Overall Evaluation

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2