## **Frontend Developer Interview Scorecard**



Candidate Name: Role Interviewed: Interviewer: Date:	
Dimensions	
• Technical Vision &	Strategy — Score (1–5):
month technology romeasurable KPIs an	plogy strategy; decisions are ad hoc and short-term. 3: Defines a coherent 12–18 padmap aligned to product needs. 4: Creates multi-year technology strategy with ad mitigation plans. 5: Sets transformative vision that opens new business a execs on tech direction.
Architecture & Scala	ability — Score (1–5):
modular architecture	systems that cause recurring outages or scaling failures. 3: Designs reliable, es that scale to forecasted load. 4: Anticipates future scale, reduces technical rations. 5: Defines architectures enabling rapid expansion with measurable cost ations.
• Technical Leadersh	ip & Talent Development — Score (1–5):
hires to close capab	op or retain talent; hiring is reactive or ineffective. 3: Builds effective teams and ility gaps. 4: Develops leaders, implements career paths, and improves retention a high-performing engineering bench with multiple internal promotions.
• Product & Business	Alignment — Score (1–5):
engineering roadma	are disconnected from business goals; wasted effort occurs. 3: Aligns p to product metrics and revenue targets. 4: Shapes product strategy using and opportunities. 5: Drives new revenue or product lines through technical maps.
Operational Reliabil	ity & SRE — Score (1–5):
incident response, a	ctices; SLAs missed and postmortems are absent. 3: Implements monitoring, and regular postmortems. 4: Meets SLAs, reduces MTTR, and automates 5: Operates proactively with reliability engineering and sustained uptime gains.

zythr.com Page 1 of 1

ZYTHR

1-2: Security is reactive; controls missing and audits fail. 3: Implements basic security controls and passes routine audits. 4: Embeds security in SDLC and reduces vulnerability backlog. 5: Leads proactive security programs and ensures continuous compliance at scale.

• Stakeholder Communication & Influence — Score (1–5): \_\_\_\_\_

1-2: Fails to communicate clearly with executives, board, or partners. 3: Communicates status, tradeoffs, and risks to executives regularly. 4: Influences cross-functional decisions and secures necessary resources. 5: Persuades board and investors and represents technology externally with credibility.

## **Overall Evaluation**

Strengths Observed:

Concerns / Weaknesses:

Recommendation (Yes / No / With Reservations):

Final Score (Avg / Weighted):

zythr.com Page 1 of 2